

## AKRON ENGINEERING BUREAU CONSULTANT EVALUATION FORM

INSTRUCTIONS: Form is to be filled out during the project.

Do not wait until construction is completed!

Pro	oject Name		
Pro	oject Description (limits)		
		Consultant Name	
Со	nsultant Project Manager		
Or	iginal Consultant Agreement Amount \$	CIP Budget \$	
Total Contract Amount (Original & Supplements)		No. of Supplements	
Со	nstruction Cost \$	(Engineer's Estimate)	
Start Date (Consultant Selected)		Scheduled Design Completion Date	
Date of Approved Proposal		Actual Design Completion Date	
Au	thorization to Proceed		
	DIA	ds a signed Consultant Agreement?YesNo	
<ol> <li>Did the proposal cover all items in the scope of service, and was it submitted properly and complete proper number of copies, workers' compensation forms, insurance certificates, and other documents, of submittal?YesNo</li> </ol>		ation forms, insurance certificates, and other documents, on the first	
3.	If no, please explain		
4.	Was the Consultant Agreement processing time reasonable?YesNo If no, why?		
av	erage; 5 – excellent. (Supporting information for	section: 1 – Poor; 2 – below average; 3 – average; 4 – above or ratings 1 or 2 must be attached.)	
CC	DNSULTANT'S PROJECT MANAGER (CPM)		
5.	Did the same CPM manage the project for the If there was a change in CPM, was there a sr If no, please explain.	nooth transition between CPM's?YesNo	
6.	Were there any key personnel that changed of there was a change in key personnel, was to the state of the sta	during the design of the project?YesNo here a smooth transition of project data?YesNo	
7.	How long did it generally take for the CPM to	return a phone call, e-mail, or request throughout the project?	
	(Example: Quickly, Reasonable, Moderate, S	Slow, Sometimes Forgets, Never)	

	no, please explain		
Did the CPM adequately supervise and schedule any sub-consultants?YesNo     If no, please explain			
to	e CPM QA/QC the plans, estimate, specifications and other related plan items prior to submitting City?YesNo Was the QA/QC effective?YesNo lease explain		
	se rate the Consultant's performance for this section: 1 – Poor; 2 – below average; 3 – average; 4 – above age; 5 – excellent. (Supporting information for ratings 1 or 2 must be attached.)		
H	EDULE		
_	old the Consultant meet the project schedule? (Scope changes are acceptable adjustments to schedules.)  YesNo no, please explain		
2. Were major milestones met?YesNo If no, please explain			
Were intermediate dates met?YesNo     If no, please explain			
/era	se rate the Consultant's performance for this section: 1 – Poor; 2 – below average; 3 – average; 4 – above age; 5 – excellent. (Supporting information for ratings 1 or 2 must be attached.)  MATE		
	old the Consultant provide the original project estimate?YesNo		
5. Any significant changes to the project estimate as a result of the Consultant's estimating?YesNo What was the difference in cost?			
6. E	explain the impact of the difference		
	se rate the Consultant's performance for this section: 1 – Poor; 2 – below average; 3 – average; 4 – above age; 5 – excellent. (Supporting information for ratings 1 or 2 must be attached.)		
	7. How well did the plans conform to the scope during the first review?		
3. F	low well did the Consultant handle problems during the development of the plans?		

the comments received the first time?Yes If no, please explainYes	mments during the disposition phase after the review to resolve allNo	
Please rate the Consultant's performance for this se average; 5 – excellent. (Supporting information for r	ection: 1 – Poor; 2 – below average; 3 – average; 4 – above ratings 1 or 2 must be attached.)	
CONSTRUCTION SERVICES (to be completed by	the AEB Construction Coordinator)	
interpretation, problem resolution)Yes If no, please explain	n a timely and conscientious manner? (Shop drawing review, plan_No	
construction?YesNo	ng judgment when asked about a situation or problem during	
23. Did the Consultant complete as-built drawings/files in a timely fashion?YesNo If no, please explain		
	curacy & completeness provided a buildable product?YesNo	
average; 5 – excellent. (Supporting information for	section: 1 - Poor; 2 - below average; 3 - average; 4 - above ratings 1 or 2 must be attached.)	
Prepared by: Construction Coordinator		
ADDITIONAL COMMENTS		
Prepared by:AEB Project Manager	DATE	
Signed:		
Approved:		
Approved: Division Manager		
c: D. Celik Design or Environmental Division Manager Construction Division Manager K. Kostura AEB Project Manager	Consultant Project Manager Consultant Marketing Executive L. Fallon File Consultant Evaluation File	
Construction Coordinator		